SPECIAL EDUCATION STRATEGIC PLANNING TEAM Reflections /Take-Aways

TOPIC: Special Education Program Model - Part 1

	Historical Perspective:
	 Students with disabilities have been segregated and excluded from learning
	opportunities since special education las has begun.
	 Research has been done to show the significant benefits of inclusion for students
	with and without disabilities.
	• Law came into effect in 1975 with the requirement for students to receive FAPE
	(Free and Appropriate Public Education
	Stressed Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)
1	• Special Education as a formal program has really only been around for about 40 years
-	• Inclusion became part of the conversations in the 1990; adequate supports needs to
	come with inclusion to ensure student success
	Response to Intervention (RTI) was formalized with IDEA in 2004
	Parent voice became strengthened as part of the IEP process
	• Since inception, many improvements have been made, but there is still along way to
	go, in California and across the nation
	Defining Special Education:
	Special Education is a service not a place
	 Law enforced through the IEP which is a legal document
	• Pre-referral process includes: Student Study team (SST), systematic Interventions,
	goals and data collection
	• Don't jump right to Special Education assessment and eligibility; pre-referral process
	needs to take place
	• Components of IEPs include: among other things, present levels of performance,
	assessment results, student goals, and services to be provided, appropriate
_	placement to deliver the student's educational program
2	 Must determine if: student meets the eligibility criteria Effective programs include strong collaboration between general education and
	special education staff
	 Goal is to assist students in accessing learning in the general education setting as
	much as possible
	Thirteen disability categories
	Related services must be considered
	Least Restrictive Environment (LRE):
	LRE is dynamic and not fixed
	LRE is not necessarily a place, but a service delivering specialized for access to
	academic and non-academic benefits
	 Need clarification on spectrum of delivery for all members of the IEP team
	Cost benefit analysis: Cost - funds convises teachers other students cost to Special Ed. Students
	 Cost = funds, services, teachers, other students, cost to Special Ed. Students Repetits: Long term and peed for support
	 Benefits: Long term and need for support LRE does not always equate to a brick and mortar placement
	Encloses not always equate to a brick and mortal platement

	 An IRE much like placement, can be due to or alleviated by 1:1 support
	• LRE is not one place for the student, it depends on the student
3	 As a teacher, this is confusing: I need tools to help to determine whether student is
_	
	meeting their goals
	 As a teacher I need help modifying instruction for the needs of all <u>my</u> students
	 As a parent, I've been pressured to exit my daughter because of services offered not
	batching need, so is that out?
	• As parent my child still needs accommodations and modifications and has a learning
	disability
	•
	 The district should define priorities for determining LRE and develop a unified
	approach to engaging parents in the discussion
	 Staff and administration need counseling on their obligation to adhere to LRE, it is
	the law
	LRE - General Education
	 Too many students are still being placed in Special day Class/self-contained learning
	environments. Why is this? Disability? Resources?
	 How does this data change our outcomes and practices?
	 Environment with most access to peers that provides educational benefit (progress
	on goals)